UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	V.	OND	ER OF DETERMION FENDING TRIAL	
N	liguel Angel Estrada-Padilla	Case Number:	11-6335M	
and was represe			vas held on July 12, 2011. Defendant was presen he defendant is a flight risk and order the detentior	
I find by a prop		NGS OF FACT		
	onderance of the evidence that:	0(-(
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in	fendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of the defendant using nu	nerous aliases.		
	The defendant attempted to evade law enfo	rcement contact by f	leeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of		years imprisonment.	
The Co at the time of the	ne hearing in this matter, except as noted in t	lings of the Pretrial S ne record. USIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will No condition or combination of conditions with DIRECTIONS R	ill flee. ill reasonably assure EGARDING DETEN	the appearance of the defendant as required.	
a corrections far appeal. The de of the United St defendant to the	cility separate, to the extent practicable, from prediction fendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportates or on request of an attorney for the Gove e United States Marshal for the purpose of a APPEALS AND	persons awaiting or s tunity for private cons ernment, the person in appearance in con THIRD PARTY REL	EASE	
IT IS Of deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this detent if the motion for review/reconsideration to Pre	ion order be filed wit trial Services at least	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to cone day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
Services suffici	JRTHER ORDERED that if a release to a thire ently in advance of the hearing before the D potential third party custodian.	I party is to be conside istrict Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATE	ED this 13 th day of July, 2011.			
	3			
Suit -				
David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge				